## REMARKS

Reconsideration of the above-identified application, in view of the following remarks, is respectfully requested.

## I Status Of The Claims

Claims 100-108, 110-118 and 120-128 have been canceled without prejudice. Claims 99, 109 and 119 have been amended to recite that the claimed methods consist essentially of administering an effective amount of milnacipran, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, and pregabalin. New claims 129-134 have been added. Support for the new claims may be found throughout the specification, such as, for example, at paragraph [0039]. No new matter has been added. Claims 99, 109, 119 and 129-134 are pending in this application and are at issue.

## II Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 99, 101, 109, 111, 119 and 121 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,441,038 ("Loder") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,500,853 ("Seehra"). In particular, the Examiner asserts that it would have been *prima facie* obvious to combine milnacipran with pregabalin to treat pain, fibromyalgia syndrome (FMS), and chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS).

The amendments to the claims are believed to place the claims into condition for allowance. In particular, claims 99, 109 and 119 have been amended to recite methods that *consist essentially of* administering pregabalin and an effective amount of milnacipran, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. Claims 101, 111 and 121 have been canceled, thereby rendering the rejection of these claims moot.

As is acknowledged by the Examiner, Loder teaches that milnacipran is to be "accompanied by either L-phenylalanine or tyrosine." (See Office Action at page 4) (Emphasis added). Loder, in this regard, teaches methods of treatment that involve administering a selective inhibitor of noradrenaline reuptake in combination with a neurotransmitter precursor (such as phenylalanine or

tyrosine). (See, for example, col. 1, lines 42-47; and col. 3, lines 21-28 of Loder). In fact, Loder evidences the importance of neurotransmitter precursors (such as L-phenylalanine or tyrosine) in Loder's treatment at col. 5, lines 40-65, for example. Here, Loder details the case history of a patient with CFS, FMS and IBS who was not effectively treated with various drugs, including "serotonin reuptake inhibiting and noradrenaline inhibiting antidepressants." Loder goes on to teach that the patient only experienced symptom improvement after being co-administered lofepramine in combination with L-phenylalanine.

Accordingly, because pending claims 99, 109 and 119 have been amended to specify that the recited methods exclude additional active agents (such as L-phenylalanine and tyrosine) other than (1) pregabalin and (2) milnacipran, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof, the pending claims are believed to be patentable over the cited references.

The Seehra reference fails to cure the deficiencies of Loder. In particular, Seehra is directed to the use of substituted indole and indoline derivatives for the treatment of inflammatory conditions. (See, for example, the Abstract of Seehra). Seehra discloses that pain may be treated by administering "a compound of this invention alone or in combination with one or more additional pharmaceutically effective agents" (col. 102, lines 37-41, emphasis added). The "compound of this invention" is the substituted indole/indoline derivative. Examples of additional effective agents that may be combined with the indole/indoline derivative include analgesics, anti-angiogenic agents, anti-neoplastic agents and anti-epileptic agents (col. 102, lines 37-46), one of which happens to be pregablin. Thus, the disclosure of Seehra would teach one skilled in the art that the substituted indole/indoline derivative is the primary active agent and is necessary for effective treatment of a disorder such as pain. At best, Seehra teaches the administration of pregabalin in combination with a substituted indole/indoline derivative.

Accordingly, the cited references, when taken alone or together, fail to teach or suggest the treatment of FMS, CFS, or pain using a method that consists essentially of administering pregabalin and milnacipran, or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. For at least these reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections.

## III Conclusion

Therefore, all objections and rejections having been addressed, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in a condition for allowance and a Notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned attorney for Applicants at the telephone number indicated below in order to expeditiously resolve any remaining issues.

Dated: November 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Paul M. Zagar, M.D.

Registration No.: 52,392 DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 770

Church Street Station

New York, New York 10008-0770

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax) Attorneys/Agents For Applicant